PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invesion of personni privacy







FILE:

WAC 02 142 50092

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: MAY 0,6 2004

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiar

PETITION:

Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION:** The director of the Service Center denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(1) as untimely filed.

The petitioner is a rehabilitation service that seeks to employ the beneficiary as an occupational therapist aide. The director denied the petition on the basis that the proffered position did not meet the definition of a specialty occupation.

An affected party has 30 days from the date of an adverse decision to file an appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i). If the adverse decision was served by mail, an additional three-day period is added to the prescribed period. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b). The record reflects that the director sent his decision of March 11, 2003 to the petitioner and to counsel at their addresses of record. Citizenship and Immigration Service (CIS) received the appeal 35 days later on April 15, 2003. Therefore, the appeal was untimely filed.

An appeal that is not filed within the time allowed must be rejected as improperly filed. 8 C.F.R. $\S 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(I)$. If, however, an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. 8 C.F.R. $\S 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2)$. A motion to reopen must state the new facts to be provided in the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. 8 C.F.R. $\S 103.5(a)(2)$. A motion to reconsider must: (1) state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or CIS policy; and (2) establish that the decision was incorrect based on the evidence of record at the time of the initial decision. 8 C.F.R. $\S 103.5(a)(3)$.

On appeal, counsel submits previously submitted evidence. Neither counsel nor the petitioner submits evidence relating to, or presents any statements in rebuttal to, the director's finding that the proffered position was not a specialty occupation.

As neither counsel nor the petitioner presents new facts to be considered, or provides any precedent decisions to establish that the director's denial was based on an incorrect application of law or CIS policy, the appeal will not be treated as a motion to reopen or reconsider and will, therefore, be rejected.

As always, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected as untimely filed.